

Reducing hopeful majority

Lambert Meertens

We are given a non-empty bag of (votes on) ‘candidates’, and are asked to determine if some candidate has the majority.

Several derivations of linear-time algorithms have been given, all of which work in two phases: first find a ‘hopeful majority’ candidate, and next check if it really has the majority. A ‘hopeful majority’ candidate is any candidate c in the bag such that if some candidate has the majority, it is c .

I consider here only the problem of finding some hopeful majority candidate. All previous algorithms I have seen basically scan the bag. The purpose of this note is to show that there is a divide-and-rule approach. In a previous note I have given a derivation, mainly based on predicate calculus. Here only the solution is presented.

Let C stand for the type of the candidates, and N for the naturals. The operation $\oplus: (C \times N) \times (C \times N) \rightarrow C \times N$ is defined by:

$$(c0, d0) \oplus (c1, d1) = (c0, d0 + d1) \langle c0 = c1 \rangle ((c0, d0 - d1) \uparrow_{\pi_2} (c1, d1 - d0)) \quad ,$$

where $a \langle p \rangle b$ stands for **if p then a else b fi**. We also define $f: C \rightarrow C \times N$:

$$f \cdot c = (c, 1) \quad .$$

Now a hopeful majority candidate is determined by $\pi_2 \cdot h$, where h is the bag homomorphism defined by

$$h = \oplus / \cdot f \cdot \quad .$$

However, something funny is going on here. Even under the hot indeterminate interpretation of \uparrow_{π_2} the operator \oplus is not associative. This can be seen by considering the different ways to compute h on a bag of three distinct candidates. According to the current definitions this would mean that h is not a proper bag homomorphism. Associativity is not required for consistency if we consider the bag splitting itself also as indeterminate. This is already mentioned in the *Algorithmics* paper, but I had not come across a clear (non-contrived) example before. The definition of the indeterminate bag reduce $\oplus /$ is then that it is the ‘thinnest’ (most determinate) indeterminate function r satisfying

$$r \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow c \quad ;$$